



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/650,719	05/20/1996	JEFFREY S. MAILLOUX	95-0653	2941

21186 7590 05/13/2003

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

KIM, HONG CHONG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2186	42

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/650,719	MAILLOUX ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hong C. Kim	2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ____ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-9,33-35,46,48-50,59-61,63 and 64 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-9,33-35,46,48-50,59-61,63 and 64 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ .

Detailed Action

1. Claims 1-9, 33-35, 46, 48-50, 59-61, and 63-64 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the response filed on 3/4/03.

2. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in the co-pending section or related section of this application. Applicants are reminded to maintain a clear line of demarcation between this application and co-pending or related applications to avoid possible double patenting (i.e U.S Pat. No 5966724).

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, a timing diagram of "while in the burst mode of operation, generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address provided while in the pipelined mode of operation" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

DOUBLE-PATENTING

4. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 59-60 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 36 of copending Application No. 08/984,563. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are related to a method of accessing a storage device, comprising: a first address, burst and pipelined mode, selecting inputting and outputting

information, selecting a burst mode and a pipelined mode, utilizing a second address to access data in a memory. Both sets of claims recited similar inventive concept of accessing a memory in burst and pipelined mode except: Claims 59-60 of the present invention comprises less specific steps than as claimed in the Application No. 08/984,563. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize teaching of 08/984,563 and modify an external row address to a first address and a first external column address to a second address of the copending application to arrive invention of the present application.

6. Claim 61 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 59 of copending Application No. 08/984,561. In view of Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are related to a method of accessing a storage device in burst and pipelined mode. Claims 61 of the present invention comprises less elements than as claimed in the Application No. 08/984,563. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to delete additional limitations of maintaining a first enabling signal in an active state of the copending application to arrive invention of the present application. It appears that while in the burst mode of operation after and should be deleted.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It appears that “while in the burst mode of operation, generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address provided while in the pipelined mode of operation” was not described in the specification, at the time the application was filed. In other words, although the specification (pg.27, 1-11; pg.38, line 11-15; and pg. 39, lines 9-16) describes burst and pipeline operations, the specification does not specifically describe claimed limitation of “while in the burst mode of operation, generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address provided while in the pipelined mode of operation”.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 USC § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

9. Claims 1-9, 33-35, 46, 48-50, 59-61, and 63-64 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Manning, U.S. Patent 5,610,864.

As to claim 1, Manning discloses the invention as claimed. Manning discloses an asynchronously accessible storage device (Fig. 1 and EDO constitutes asynchronous memory, col. col. 6 lines 14-16, since the EDO does not require a system clock to operate) comprising mode circuitry to select between a burst mode (col. 6 lines 14-34 and col. 7 lines 43-54) and a pipelined mode (col. 5 lines 43-50, “Other memory architectures applicable to the current invention include a pipelined architecture where memory access are performed sequentially” and col. 6 lines 14-16 “switching between burst EDO and standard EDO modes” read on this limitation, in other words, in order to work in a standard EDO memory including a pipeline architecture, one has to select a pipeline EDO mode); and circuitry operable in either the burst mode or the pipelined mode coupled to the mode selection circuitry and configured to select between two modes.(Fig. 1 Ref. 40 and col. 6 lines 14-16 & col. 5 lines 41-50).

Also it is well known in the memory art that the pipelined memory architecture provides speed advantages by enabling more than one memory read, memory write, memory address input, memory data input or memory data output to be processed simultaneously. In other words, the

pipeline architecture advantage in a memory effectively hides memory wait state, specifically this is accomplished by overlapping memory operations using a pipe. Another advantage that the pipelined memory architecture has over the parallel read and write architecture is a reduction in required circuitry. In particular, the parallel architecture requires two sample-and-hold circuits (one in each buffer) per read or write circuit. The pipelined architecture requires only a single sample-and-hold circuit per read or write circuit. Thus, the pipelined architecture can reduce circuit cost by decreasing the required integrated circuit area. Therefore, given the teachings of above reference one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been lead to an obvious fashion to provide a pipelined EDO mode circuitry since Manning discloses that the current invention include a pipelined architecture (col. 5 lines 43-49) which would increase accessing speed. Pipelined DRAM in high speed page mode is disclosed by Akioka et al. US Patent No. 5,373,469, Fig. 18 and col. 15 50 thru col. 16 line 3 and col. 16, lines 49-61.

As to claim 50, Manning further discloses a microprocessor (Fig. 11 Ref. 112). Manning also disclose a system clock (col. 8 line 46) in the microprocessor to operate the processor.

As to claim 2, Manning further discloses the burst mode and the pipelined mode are EDO modes of operation (col. 5 lines 41-50, col. 6 lines 14-34 and col. 7 lines 43-54).

As to claim 3, Manning further discloses the pipelined mode is an EDO mode (col. 5 lines 41-50,

col. 6 lines 14-34 and col. 7 lines 43-54).

As to claim 4, Manning further discloses the burst mode is and EDO mode (col. 6 line 15).

As to claim 5, Manning further discloses the mode circuitry includes a buffer, the buffer for storing an address (Fig. 1 Refs. 18, 22, and 30).

As to claim 6, Manning further discloses the mode circuitry includes at least one counter for incrementing the address (Fig. 1 Ref. 26 and col 5 lines 51-62).

As to claim 7, Manning further discloses the mode circuitry includes receiving an external address (Fig. 1 Ref. 16 and col. 4 lines 16-28).

As to claim 8, Manning further discloses the mode circuitry includes a buffer, the buffer for storing an address (Fig. 1 Refs. 18, 22, and 30).

As to claim 9, Manning further discloses the mode circuitry includes multiplexed device for providing an internally generated address to the storage device (Fig. 1 Refs. 26 and 30 and col. 5 lines 51-62 & col. 3 lines 20-23, selection of external or internal address reads on this limitation).

As to claims 33, 59, and 60, Manning discloses a method for accessing a storage device (Fig. 1), comprising: receiving a first address to the storage device (Fig. 2 ROW); selecting between an asynchronously accessible (Fig. 1 and EDO constitutes asynchronous operation, col. col. 6 lines 14-16) burst mode (col. 6 lines 14-26 and col. 7 lines 43-54) and a pipelined mode (col. 5 lines 43-50, "Other memory architectures applicable to the current invention include a pipelined architecture where memory access are performed sequentially" and col. 6 lines 14-16 "switching between burst EDO and standard EDO mode" read on this limitation, since standard EDO mode can include a pipeline architecture) of operations of the storage device; selecting between outputting information from the storage device and inputting to the storage device (Fig. 2 /WE, read and write operations read on this limitation); obtaining a second address to the storage device (Fig. 2 /COL), and asynchronously accessing a storage element of the storage device in the selected mode of operation using the first address and the second addresses (Fig. 2, DQ and col. 5 lines 41-50, col. 6 lines 14-26 & col. 7 lines 43-54).

Also it is well known in the memory art that the pipelined memory architecture provides speed advantages by enabling more than one memory read, memory write, memory address input, memory data input or memory data output to be processed simultaneously. In other words, the pipeline architecture advantage in a memory effectively hides memory wait state, specifically this is accomplished by overlapping memory operations using a pipe. Another advantage that the pipelined memory architecture has over the parallel read and write architecture is a reduction in required circuitry. In particular, the parallel architecture requires two sample-and-hold circuits

(one in each buffer) per read or write circuit. The pipelined architecture requires only a single sample-and-hold circuit per read or write circuit. Thus, the pipelined architecture can reduce circuit cost by decreasing the required integrated circuit area. Therefore, given the teachings of above reference one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been lead to an obvious fashion to provide a pipelined EDO mode circuitry since Manning discloses that the current invention include a pipelined architecture (col. 5 lines 43-49) which would increase accessing speed. Pipelined DRAM in high speed page mode is disclosed by Akioka et al. US Patent No. 5,373,469, Fig. 18 and col. 15 50 thru col. 16 line 3 and col. 16, lines 49-61.

As to claim 34, Manning further discloses a step of switching between the pipelined mode and burst mode (col. 5 lines 41-50, col. 6 lines 14-16 and col. 5 lines 42-50).

As to claim 35, Manning further discloses the second address is an external address (Fig. 1 Refs 16 and 30 and col. 4 lines 16-28).

As to claim 46, Manning discloses a method for accessing several different locations in an asynchronously a storage device (Fig. 1 and EDO constitutes asynchronous operation, col. col. 6 lines 14-16), comprising: selecting a pipelined mode of operation (col. 5 lines 43-50, “Other memory architectures applicable to the current invention include a pipelined architecture where memory access are performed sequentially” and col. 6 lines 14-16 “switching between burst EDO

and standard EDO mode" read on this limitation, in other words, in order to work in a standard EDO memory including a pipeline architecture, one has to select a pipeline mode); providing a new external addresses for every access associated with accessing the asynchronously-accessible memory device while in the pipelined mode of operation (col. 5 lines 42-50, "where memory accesses are performed sequentially" and "one access per cycle" read on this limitation); switching a burst mode of operation (col. 6 lines 14-26 and col. 7 lines 43-54); providing an initial external address associated with asynchronously accessing the asynchronously-accessible memory device in the burst mode of operation (col. 5 lines 51-62 and col. 8 line 67); and generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address while in the burst mode of operation (col. 5 lines 51-62 and col. 8 line 67).

Also it is well known in the memory art that the pipelined memory architecture provides speed advantages by enabling more than one memory read, memory write, memory address input, memory data input or memory data output to be processed simultaneously. In other words, the pipeline architecture advantage in a memory effectively hides memory wait state, specifically this is accomplished by overlapping memory operations using a pipe. Another advantage that the pipelined memory architecture has over the parallel read and write architecture is a reduction in required circuitry. In particular, the parallel architecture requires two sample-and-hold circuits (one in each buffer) per read or write circuit. The pipelined architecture requires only a single sample-and-hold circuit per read or write circuit. Thus, the pipelined architecture can reduce circuit cost by decreasing the required integrated circuit area. Therefore, given the teachings of

above reference one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been lead to an obvious fashion to provide a pipelined EDO mode circuitry since Manning discloses that the current invention include a pipelined architecture (col. 5 lines 43-49) which would increase accessing speed. Pipelined DRAM in high speed page mode is disclosed by Akioka et al. US Patent No. 5,373,469, Fig. 18 and col. 15 50 thru col. 16 line 3 and col. 16, lines 49-61.

As to claims 48 and 49, Manning further discloses column, row, application, fixed access based switching (col. 5 line 42 thru col. 6 line 34) for the burst mode and the pipelined mode.

As to claim 61, Manning discloses a method for accessing several different locations in an asynchronously a storage device (Fig. 1 and EDO constitutes asynchronous operation, col. col. 6 lines 14-16), comprising: selecting a pipelined mode of operation (col. 5 lines 43-50, “Other memory architectures applicable to the current invention include a pipelined architecture where memory access are performed sequentially” and col. 6 lines 14-16 “switching between burst EDO and standard EDO modes” read on this limitation, in other words, in order to work in a standard EDO memory including a pipeline architecture, one has to select a pipeline mode); providing a new external addresses for every access associated with accessing the asynchronously-accessible memory device while in the pipelined mode of operation (col. 5 lines 42-50, definition of the pipeline “where memory accesses are performed sequentially” and “one access per cycle” reads on this limitation); switching a burst mode of operation (col. 6 lines 14-34 and col. 7 lines 43-54);

providing an initial external address associated with asynchronously accessing the asynchronously-accessible memory device in the burst mode of operation (col. 5 lines 51-62 and col. 8 line 67); and generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address while in the burst mode of operation (col. 5 lines 51-62 and col. 8 line 67).

Also it is well known in the memory art that the pipelined memory architecture provides speed advantages by enabling more than one memory read, memory write, memory address input, memory data input or memory data output to be processed simultaneously. In other words, the pipeline architecture advantage in a memory effectively hides memory wait state, specifically this is accomplished by overlapping memory operations using a pipe. Another advantage that the pipelined memory architecture has over the parallel read and write architecture is a reduction in required circuitry. In particular, the parallel architecture requires two sample-and-hold circuits (one in each buffer) per read or write circuit. The pipelined architecture requires only a single sample-and-hold circuit per read or write circuit. Thus, the pipelined architecture can reduce circuit cost by decreasing the required integrated circuit area. Therefore, given the teachings of above reference one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been lead to an obvious fashion to provide a pipelined EDO mode circuitry since Manning discloses that the current invention include a pipelined architecture (col. 5 lines 43-49) which would increase accessing speed. Pipelined DRAM in high speed page mode is disclosed by Akioka et al. US Patent No. 5,373,469, Fig. 18 and col. 15 50 thru col. 16 line 3 and col. 16, lines 49-61.

As to claim 63, Manning discloses a storage device, comprising; an array of memory cells (col. 4 lines 13-15); mode circuitry for receiving a burst/pipeline signal (col. 5 lines 41-50, col. 6 lines 14-34 & col. 7 lines 43-54 “Other memory architectures applicable to the current invention include a pipelined architecture where memory access are performed sequentially” and col. 6 lines 14-16 “switching between burst EDO and standard EDO modes” read on this limitation, in other words, in order to work in a standard EDO memory including a pipeline architecture, one has to select a pipeline mode); and operation circuitry operable in a burst or a pipeline mode of operation depending upon the burst/pipeline signal, the operation circuitry switchable between burst and pipeline modes of operation (col. 5 lines 41-50, col. 6 lines 14-34, and col. 7 lines 43-54).

Also it is well known in the memory art that the pipelined memory architecture provides speed advantages by enabling more than one memory read, memory write, memory address input, memory data input or memory data output to be processed simultaneously. In other words, the pipeline architecture advantage in a memory effectively hides memory wait state, specifically this is accomplished by overlapping memory operations using a pipe. Another advantage that the pipelined memory architecture has over the parallel read and write architecture is a reduction in required circuitry. In particular, the parallel architecture requires two sample-and-hold circuits (one in each buffer) per read or write circuit. The pipelined architecture requires only a single sample-and-hold circuit per read or write circuit. Thus, the pipelined architecture can reduce circuit cost by decreasing the required integrated circuit area. Therefore, given the teachings of above reference one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have

been lead to an obvious fashion to provide a pipelined EDO mode circuitry since Manning discloses that the current invention include a pipelined architecture (col. 5 lines 43-49) which would increase accessing speed. Pipelined DRAM in high speed page mode is disclosed by Akioka et al. US Patent No. 5,373,469, Fig. 18 and col. 15 50 thru col. 16 line 3 and col. 16, lines 49-61.

As to claim 64, *Manning* discloses a memory circuit, comprising; an array of memory cells (col. 4 lines 13-15); burst/pipeline selection circuitry for determining a burst or a pipeline mode of operation of the memory circuit (col. 5 lines 41-50, col. 6 lines 14-34 & col. 7 lines 43-54, “Other memory architectures applicable to the current invention include a pipelined architecture where memory access are performed sequentially” and col. 6 lines 14-16 “switching between burst EDO and standard EDO modes” read on this limitation, in other words, in order to work in a standard EDO memory including a pipeline architecture, one has to select a pipeline mode); and mode circuitry capable of operation in either a burst mode or a pipeline mode of operation, and switchable between burst and pipeline modes of operation (col. 5 lines 41-50, col. 6 lines 14-34, and col. 7 lines 43-54).

Also it is well known in the memory art that the pipelined memory architecture provides speed advantages by enabling more than one memory read, memory write, memory address input, memory data input or memory data output to be processed simultaneously. In other words, the pipeline architecture advantage in a memory effectively hides memory wait state, specifically this

is accomplished by overlapping memory operations using a pipe. Another advantage that the pipelined memory architecture has over the parallel read and write architecture is a reduction in required circuitry. In particular, the parallel architecture requires two sample-and-hold circuits (one in each buffer) per read or write circuit. The pipelined architecture requires only a single sample-and-hold circuit per read or write circuit. Thus, the pipelined architecture can reduce circuit cost by decreasing the required integrated circuit area. Therefore, given the teachings of above reference one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been lead to an obvious fashion to provide a pipelined EDO mode circuitry since Manning discloses that the current invention include a pipelined architecture (col. 5 lines 43-49) which would increase accessing speed. Pipelined DRAM in high speed page mode is disclosed by Akioka et al. US Patent No. 5,373,469, Fig. 18 and col. 15 50 thru col. 16 line 3 and col. 16, lines 49-61.

Response to Amendment

10. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/4/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument on page 4 that improper rejection of claim 61 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification is not considered persuasive.

At page 5, applicant argues that the specification describes claimed limitation of "while in the

burst mode of operation, generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address provided while in the pipelined mode of operation", however, the examiner could not find support for this limitation. Applicant pointed out that this limitation is shown at page 27, lines 1-11, page 38 lines 11-15, and page 36, lines 9-16, however, pages 27, 36, and 38 only describes individual burst mode operation and pipelined operation. Applicants are requested to point out this limitation of "while in the burst mode of operation, generating at least one subsequent internal address patterned after the initial external address provided while in the pipelined mode of operation" **in the specification and in the drawing**.

Applicant's argument on page 6 that the reference does not disclose selecting between a burst mode and a pipeline modes of operations is not considered persuasive.

"The current invention include a pipelined architecture where memory access are performed sequentially" (col. 5 lines 43-49 in Manning) and "switching between burst EDO mode and standard EDO mode" (see col 6 lines 14-16 & Fig. 1 and col. 7 lines 44-55 in Manning) read on this limitation, in other words, in order to work in a standard EDO memory including a pipeline architecture, one has to select pipeline mode. Also it is well known in the memory art that the pipelined memory architecture provides speed advantages by enabling more than one memory read, memory write, memory address input, memory data input or memory data output to be processed simultaneously. In other words, the pipeline architecture advantage in a memory effectively hides memory wait state, specifically this is accomplished by overlapping memory

operations using a pipe. Another advantage that the pipelined memory architecture has over the parallel read and write architecture is a reduction in required circuitry. In particular, the parallel architecture requires two sample-and-hold circuits (one in each buffer) per read or write circuit. The pipelined architecture requires only a single sample-and-hold circuit per read or write circuit. Thus, the pipelined architecture can reduce circuit cost by decreasing the required integrated circuit area. Therefore, given the teachings of above reference one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been lead to an obvious fashion to provide a pipelined EDO mode circuitry since Manning discloses that the current invention include a pipelined architecture (col. 5 lines 43-49) which would increase accessing speed. Pipelined DRAM in high speed page mode is disclosed by Akioka et al. US Patent No. 5,373,469, Fig. 18 and col. 15 50 thru col. 16 line 3 and col. 16, lines 49-61).

Applicant's argument on page 6 that the reference does not disclose a mode circuitry, a buffer storing an address, a counter, and an EDO is not considered persuasive. Manning discloses mode circuitry (col. 6 lines 14+), a buffer storing an address (Fig. 1 Ref. 18), a counter (Fig. 1 Ref. 26), and an EDO (col. 6 lines 21-22).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attachment.

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Applicants are requested to number each line of each claim starting with line number one to provide easier communication in the future.

14. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c).

15. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to

locate the appropriate paragraphs.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Hong Kim whose telephone number is (703) 305-3835. The Examiner can normally be reached on the weekdays from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim, can be reached on (703) 305-3821.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

17. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to TC-2100:

After-final (703) 746-7238
Official (703) 746-7239 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

HK
Primary Patent Examiner
May 8, 2003

